

# References – The Bottom Line: When is Induction Appropriate?

1. Dekker, R. (2019). The evidence on: Due dates. <https://evidencebasedbirth.com/evidence-on-due-dates/>
2. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). (2013). Committee opinion # 579 – Definition of term pregnancy. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 122(5), 1139-1140.
3. World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). *WHO recommendations: Induction of labour at or beyond term* (page 5). Geneva, Switzerland. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/277233/9789241550413-eng.pdf?ua=1>
4. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (May 25, 2021). NICE recommends offering women induced labour earlier in new draft guidance. <http://www.nice.org.uk/news/article/nice-recommends-inducing-women-in-labour-earlier-in-new-draft-guidance>
5. ACOG. (2018). *Clinical guidance for integration of the findings of THE ARRIVE Trial Labor Induction Versus Expectant Management in Low Risk Nulliparous Women*. [www.acog.org/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2018/08/clinical-guidance-for-integration-of-the-findings-of-the-arrive-trial](http://www.acog.org/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2018/08/clinical-guidance-for-integration-of-the-findings-of-the-arrive-trial)
6. Grobman, W. A., et al. (2018). Labor induction versus expectant management in low-risk nulliparous women. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 379(6), 513-523. [www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1800566?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft\\_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rft\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3dwww.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1800566?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rft_dat=cr_pub%3dwww.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
7. Amis, D. (2021). Talking points: The ARRIVE Trial. [www.thefamilyway.com/Handouts](http://www.thefamilyway.com/Handouts).
8. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada. (2018). *SOGC statement - ARRIVE Trial*. <http://mailchi.mp/sogc/statement-ontario-sexual-education-curriculum-announcement-478889>
9. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada. (2017). Practice guideline #214: Guidelines for the management of pregnancy at 41+0 to 42+0 weeks. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 39(8), e164-e174. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28729108](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28729108)
10. American College of Nurse-Midwives. (2018). ACNM recommends no change in practice in response to study on induction of labor. <http://www.midwife.org/induced-labor-study-statement>
11. Buckley, S. J. (2015). Executive summary of Hormonal Physiology of Childbearing: Evidence and Implications for Women, Babies, and Maternity Care. *Journal of Perinatal Education*, 24(3), 145-153. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4720867/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4720867/)
12. Dahlen, H.G., et al. (2021). Intrapartum interventions and outcomes for women and children following induction of labour at term in uncomplicated pregnancies: a 16-year population-based link data study. *BMJ Open*, 2021 May 31;11(6):e047040. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047040. <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/11/6/e047040>.
13. Levine, E.M., Delfinado, L.N., Locher, s., & Ginsberg, N.A. (2021). Reducing the cesarean delivery rate. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2021.05.023>. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34022593/>
14. Wennerholm, U-B., et al. (2019). Induction of labour at 41 weeks versus expectant management and induction of labour at 42 weeks (SWEdish Post-term Induction Study, SWEPIS): multicentre, open label, randomised, superiority trial. *BMJ*, 2019;367:l6131. [www.bmj.com/content/367/bmj.l6131.long](http://www.bmj.com/content/367/bmj.l6131.long)
15. Keulan, J. K.J., et al. (2019). Induction of labour at 41 weeks versus expectant management until 42 weeks (INDEX): multicentre, randomised non-inferiority trial. *BMJ*, 2019 Feb 20;364:l344. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l344. [www.bmj.com/content/364/bmj.l344.long](http://www.bmj.com/content/364/bmj.l344.long)
16. Rydahl, E., Declercq, E., Juhl, M., & Maimburg, R.D. (2019). Routine induction in late-term pregnancies: follow-up of a Danish induction of labour paradigm. *BMJ Open*, 2019;9:e032815. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032815. <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/bmjopen/9/12/e032815.full.pdf>
17. Middleton, P., Shepherd, E., Morris, J., & Crowther, C.A. (2020). Induction of labour at or beyond 37 weeks' gestation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2020, Issue 7*. Art. No.: CD004945. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004945.pub5. <https://bit.ly/3gRE5Kd>

## Additional Recommended Reading

Dekker, R. (2020). *Evidence on: Inducing for due dates*. <https://evidencebasedbirth.com/evidence-on-inducing-labor-for-going-past-your-due-date/>



Updated June 2021. Chart compiled by Debby Amis for The Family Way Publications, [www.thefamilyway.com](http://www.thefamilyway.com).

May be reproduced in full with attribution for non-commercial purposes.

Like us on facebook at [www.facebook.com/familywaypublications](http://www.facebook.com/familywaypublications) for new handouts and childbirth education research updates.