

# The Bottom Line: Continuous EFM



Despite strong evidence that continuous electronic fetal heart rate monitoring (EFM) increases the cesarean rate without improving long-term neonatal outcomes, it remains the most widely used routine obstetric intervention. According to the 2013 *Listening to Mothers III* survey of U.S. women’s experiences in childbirth, 80% of laboring women were monitored continuously for all or most of their labors.<sup>1</sup> In 2017, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists joined with almost all other national and international maternity care organizations in recommending intermittent monitoring for low-risk, healthy laboring women.

Let’s take a look at the evidence and recommendations of professional maternity care organizations.

WHAT RECENT EVIDENCE SAYS	WHAT PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS SAY
<p><b>Cochrane Library</b>, 2017 (considered the “gold standard” for research)</p> <p>“Continuous CTG [EFM] was associated with fewer fits for babies although there was no difference in cerebral palsy; both were rare events. However, continuous CTG was also associated with increased numbers of caesarean sections and instrumental births, both of which carry risks for mothers. Continuous CTG also makes moving and changing positions difficult in labour and women are unable to use a birthing pool. This can impact on women’s coping strategies. Women and their doctors need to discuss the woman’s individual needs and wishes about monitoring the baby’s wellbeing in labour.”<sup>2</sup></p>	<p><b>World Health Organization</b>, 2018</p> <p>“17. Routine cardiotocography is not recommended for the assessment of fetal well-being in healthy pregnant women undergoing spontaneous labor.</p> <p>“18. Intermittent auscultation of the fetal heart rate with either a Doppler ultrasound device or Pinard fetal stethoscope is recommended for healthy pregnant women in labour.”<sup>6</sup></p>
<p><b>Cochrane Library</b>, The Admission Strip, 2017</p> <p>“Although many hospitals carry out CTGs on women when they are admitted to hospital in labour, we found no evidence that this benefits women with low-risk pregnancies. We found that admission CTGs may increase numbers of women having a caesarean section by about 20%.”<sup>3</sup></p>	<p><b>American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists</b>, 2017</p> <p>“To facilitate the option of intermittent auscultation, OB-GYNs and other obstetric care providers and facilities should consider adopting protocols and training staff to use a hand-held Doppler device for low-risk women who desire such monitoring during labor.”<sup>7</sup></p>
<p><b>Seminars in Perinatology</b>, 2016</p> <p>Interesting review that describes trials done by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network to evaluate two approaches to intrapartum monitoring – fetal pulse oximetry and fetal ECG ST segment analysis (STAN). Both trials failed to show benefits to either operative deliveries or neonatal outcomes. The authors stated,</p> <p>“Although the results were negative, the studies actually prevented adoption of these methods without appropriate evidence, as happened with the original introduction of fetal heart rate monitoring.”<sup>4</sup></p>	<p><b>American College of Nurse-Midwives</b>, 2015</p> <p>“IA [intermittent auscultation] is the preferred method for monitoring the FHR during labor for women at term who at the onset of labor are at low risk for developing fetal acidemia.”<sup>8</sup></p>
<p><b>Evidence Based Birth: The evidence on: Fetal Monitoring</b> by Rebecca Dekker, 2018</p> <p>“Randomized trials have found that EFM has contributed to an increase in the Cesarean rate, without making any improvements in cerebral palsy, Apgar scores, cord blood gases, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, low-oxygen brain damage, or perinatal death (which includes stillbirth and newborn death). EFM is linked to a lower rate of newborn seizures; however, newborn seizure events are rare and it is not clear how often they lead to long-term health problems.”<sup>5</sup></p>	<p><b>Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada</b>, 2018</p> <p>“Allow women to move about and position themselves as they prefer throughout labor and delivery. Avoid obstetrical interventions that limit women’s mobility. In the absence of medical contra-indications, intermittent auscultation should be favoured to continuous electronic monitoring.”<sup>9</sup></p> <p>2007</p> <p>“Intermittent auscultation is the recommended fetal surveillance method during labour for healthy women without risk factors for adverse perinatal outcome.”<sup>10</sup></p> <p><b>Williams Obstetrics</b>, 2018 (page 478)</p> <p>“At Parkland Hospital, all high- risk labors are continuously monitored electronically. In low-risk pregnancies, both intermittent auscultation and continuous electronic monitoring are used depending on clinical circumstances, including the woman’s desire to ambulate.”<sup>11</sup></p>

## REFERENCES

1. Declercq, E., Sakala, C., Corry, M.P., Applebaum, S. & Herrlich, A. (2013). Appendix D in *Listening to mothers III – New mothers speak out*. New York, NY: Childbirth Connection.
2. Alfirevic, Z., Devane, D., Gyte, G.M.L. & Cuthbert, A. (2017). Continuous cardiotocography (CTG) as a form of electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) for fetal assessment during labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 2*.
3. Devane, D., Lalor, J.G., McGuire, W., Cuthbert, A. & Smith, V. Cardiotocography versus intermittent auscultation of fetal heart on admission to labour ward for assessment of fetal wellbeing (review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 1*.
4. Bloom, S.L., Belfort, M., Saade, G. & Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. (2016). What we have learned about intrapartum fetal monitoring trials in the MFMU network. *Seminars in Perinatology, 40(5)*, 307-317.
5. Dekker, R. (2018). *Evidence-Based Birth. The Evidence on: Fetal monitoring*. <https://evidencebasedbirth.com/fetal-monitoring/>
6. World Health Organization. (2018). WHO recommendations: Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience. [www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/intrapartum-care-guidelines/en/](http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/intrapartum-care-guidelines/en/)
7. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2017). Committee opinion #687: Approaches to limit intervention during labor and birth. *Obstetrics & Gynecology, 129(2)*, 1-9.
8. American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM). (2015). ACNM Clinical bulletin #60: Intermittent auscultation for intrapartum fetal heart rate surveillance. *Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health, 60(5)*, 626-636.
9. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC). (2018). SOGC Clinical practice guideline #355 – Physiologic basis of pain in labour and delivery: An evidence-based approach to its management. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada, 40(2)*, 227-245.
10. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC). (2007). SOGC Clinical practice guideline # 197: Fetal health surveillance: Antepartum and intrapartum consensus guideline. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada, 29(9)*, supplement 4.
11. Cunningham, F.G., Leveno, K.J., Bloom, S.L., Dashe, J.S., Hoffman, B.L., Casey, B.M. & Spong, C.Y. (2018). *Williams Obstetrics*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill Education.

## ADDITIONAL REFERENCE: Thought-provoking article

Sartwelle, T.P., Johnston, J.C. & Arda, B. (2017). The ethics of teaching physicians electronic fetal monitoring: And now for the rest of the story. *The Surgery Journal, 3(1)*, e42-e47.



June 2018. Chart compiled by Debby Amis for The Family Way Publications, [www.thefamilyway.com](http://www.thefamilyway.com).

May be reproduced in full with attribution for noncommercial purposes.

Like us on facebook at [www.facebook.com/familywaypublications](http://www.facebook.com/familywaypublications) for new handouts and childbirth education research updates.